

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                                 | FILING DATE      | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.   | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---|------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|
| 10/687,004                                      | 10/16/2003       | John Jerald Urlaub   | 18662                 | 7451            |
| 22827 7590 07/13/2007<br>DORITY & MANNING, P.A. |                  |                      | EXAMINER              |                 |
| POST OFFICE BOX 1449                            |                  |                      | AUGHENBAUGH, WALTER   |                 |
| GREENVILLE                                      | E, SC 29602-1449 |                      | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER |                 |
|   |                  | 1772                 |                       |                 |
|   |                  |                      | MAIL DATE             | DELIVERY MODE   |
|   | ·                |                      | 07/13/2007            | PAPER           |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| ·<br>•   |   |   |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | 10/687,004  | URLAUB ET AL.   |  |  |  |
|  | Examiner  | Art Unit  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app   | Walter B. Aughenbaugh   | 1772  |  |  |  |
| Period for Reply   | cars on the cover sheet with the c  | onespondence address  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period value of the provision of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |
| Status   |   |   |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Fe   | ebruary 2007.   |   |  |  |  |
| · <u> </u>   | , <del></del>   |   |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is   |   |   |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E   | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4  | 53 O.G. 213.  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>34-49</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>34-49</u> are subject to restriction and/or   | wn from consideration.  |   |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |   |   |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicated any accomplicated any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct  | epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Serion is required if the drawing(s) is ob   | e 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).                        |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex   | aminer. Note the attached Office  | Action or form PTO-152.   |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |   |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list  | s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  | on No ed in this National Stage   |  |  |  |
| •  |   |   |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) Interview Summary  | (PTO-413)   |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date   | Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:   | ate   |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/687,004

Art Unit: 1772

4

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Prosecution was reopened in the Notice mailed March 28, 2007 (Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review mailed March 28, 2007).

## Election/Restrictions

2. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: (i) "metal modified nanoparticles being positioned on the inside surface of the packaging material" recited in claim 35 and (ii) "metal modified nanoparticles [that] are positioned on an insert located within the package" recited in claim 36 and "metal modified nanoparticles [that] are applied to the cylindrical core [recited in claim 48]" recited in claim 49. The species are independent or distinct because the identified species are not connected in any of design, operation, or effect under the disclosure.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 34 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/687,004 Page 3

Art Unit: 1772

3. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Walter B. Aughenbaugh whose telephone number is (571) 272-1488. While the examiner sets his work schedule under the Increased Flexitime Policy, he can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:45am to 5:15pm.

Art Unit: 1772

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena Dye, can be reached on (571) 272-3186. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Walter B. Aughenbaugh

7/09/07

2/09/07